

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,436	07/05/2005	Tatsunobu Ando	450100-04896	6377	
William S Fro	7590 07/03/200	EXAMINER			
Frommer Law	rence & Haug	VANCHY JR, MICHAEL J			
745 Fifth Aver New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) ANDO, TATSUNOBU		
10/541,436			
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624		

	MICHAEL VANCHY	JR	2624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41	.37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,				cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		rch (see NOT	E below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for									
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number	of finally rais	ected claims						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		or many reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			npilant runonament (						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-			be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-11 and 13.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2 and 12.									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections	under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the	annlication in	condition for allower	na hanausa:					
See Continuation Sheet.	·		condition for alloward	ce because.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other:									
/Samir A. Ahmed/									
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed After Final Amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues for further search and/or consideration, because of the addition of newly raised issues/limitations into claims 1, 6-9, 11, and 13. The claimed limitation is now to find a distance between the center point and "each" of the plurally of first features. There is also a 112 issue since the amended claims state getting "a" distance between one point and each of the "plurality" of feature points.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are directed to new changes in the claim limitations and the request has not been entered.